Static and Dynamic Instability Characteristics of Thin Plate like Beam with Internal Flaw Subjected to In-plane Harmonic Load

Rahul. R* and P. K. Datta**

Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, IIT Kharagpur, India

Abstract

This paper deals with the study of buckling, vibration, and parametric instability characteristics in a damaged cross-ply and angle-ply laminated plate like beam under in-plane harmonic loading, using the finite element approach. Damage is modelled using an anisotropic damage formulation, based on the concept of reduction in stiffness. The effect of damage on free vibration and buckling characteristics of a thin plate like beam has been studied. It has been observed that damage shows a strong orthogonality and in general deteriorates the static and dynamic characteristics. For the harmonic type of loading, analysis was carried out on a thin plate like beam by solving the governing differential equation which is of Mathieu-Hill type, using the method of multiple scales (MMS). The effects of damage and its location on dynamic stability characteristics have been presented. The results indicate that, compared to the undamaged plate like beam, heavily damaged beams show steeper deviations in simple and combination resonance characteristics.

Key words: Anisotropic damage, Harmonic loading, Plate like Beam, Instability.

1. Introduction

A plate like beam can be thought of as a two-dimensional narrow structural member that can take membrane stresses. In usual structural analysis, these are taken as a simplified one-dimensional beam element. However, considering the same as two-dimensional, it enhances the load carrying capacity, as the beam element carries an additional in-plane load, giving rise to improved strength to weight ratio.

The study of the static and dynamic response behaviour of plate like beam type structural elements under the in-plane harmonic load is of importance. The in-plane load significantly affects the response behaviour. As the magnitude of in-plane compressive load increases, the frequency of vibration reduces and at a critical buckling load the frequency becomes zero. Periodic in-plane loads induce dynamic instability in the form of resonant transverse vibrations. This phenomenon is known as parametric resonance. The instability regions of parametric resonance consist of a range of values for loading parameters for which instability occurs. Dynamic instability

can occur when the excitation frequency is related to one of the natural frequencies of the system. This is called simple resonance. When excitation frequency is related to more than one natural frequency, the instability phenomenon called combination resonance occurs (Bolotin, 1964).

Extensive study has been done on the static and dynamic behaviour of structural elements. Sahu and Datta (2007) have made an excellent review on the works available in the literature, both for isotropic and composite materials.

Damages or flaws are almost unavoidable in any structure at some stage of its operating life span. The presence of damage significantly affects the buckling, vibration and parametric instability behaviour of the structural element. Damage modelling is usually based on the stiffness loss. Modelling of damage in anisotropic materials, such as laminate composites is done through the continuum damage mechanics approach. This method is helpful in describing the deterioration of material based on the onset or initiation of damage, such as micro cracks (Voyiadjis, 2005). Talreja (1985) introduced damage by describing a set of vectors to represent damage

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

©

* Research Student, rahulsreelatha@gmail.com

** Professor, Corresponding author : prosundatta@gmail.com

on various directed planes in the composite material. A continuum mechanics based model of cracks was proposed by Abdelrahman and Nayfeh (1999). Valliappan et al. (1990) developed a finite element model of anisotropic damage based on the structural reduction factor. The formulation has a broader scope of applications because of the elegance and simplicity of constitutive relations and non-symmetry of the damaged stress tensor.

A composite damage model called Damage Meso-model for Laminates (DML) was proposed by Ladeveze and LeDantec (1992). This model takes into account the characteristic differences between the damaged plies under tensile and compressive stresses. Murari and Upadhyay (2008) have worked on a modification of DML which takes into account the damage at the microlevel. Pidaparti (1997) computed the free vibration and flutter characteristics of a composite plate considering the aeroelastic effects. The author establishes that the formulation proposed by Valliappan et al. (1990) has more influence on the free vibration and parametric resonance characteristics than that by Talreja (1985). Under suitable constraints the formulation proposed by Valliappan et al. (1990) reduces to the isotropic damage formulation proposed by Prabhakara and Datta (1993).

Considerable amount of works are available in literature regarding the stability of beams, plates and shells under various loading conditions including the in-plane harmonic load, follower load and transverse harmonic loads (Sahu and Datta, 2007). The importance of the plate like beam structure was discussed. A void exists in literature when it comes to the stability behaviour of such structures subjected to the in-plane harmonic load. In the present work, a generalized anisotropic damage formulation was twinned to the plate like beam problem and studies on free vibration, buckling

and dynamic instability characteristics were made on isotropic and composite structures. The effects of damage orthogonality, damage intensity, damage size and its location on static and dynamic characteristics have been investigated.

The advantage to the method is that the formulation considers the in-plane membrane effect of the plate in the beam problem. The presence of damage in the plate like beam alters the in-plane stress distributions. The beam formulation in this paper takes care of the effects of damage on the buckling, transverse vibration and parametric resonance behavior of the structural elements, considering the in-plane non uniform stress distribution, due to various damage parameters. These results will provide information regarding structures pertaining to aerospace applications for which weight is a prime design parameter.

2. Mathematical Formulation

A plate like beam structure (Fig. 1) under in-plane harmonic load, $P(t)$ is modelled by using the finite element method.

The harmonic loading $P(t)$ is expressed as (Bolotin (1964))

$$P(t) = P_s + P_t \sin(\Omega t)$$

where,

$P_s = \alpha P_{cr}$ = static component of the parametric loading

$P_t = \beta P_{cr}$ = dynamic component of the parametric loading

Ω = frequency of the harmonic loading

α = static load factor

β = dynamic load factor

P_{cr} = critical buckling load of plate like beam.

values for α and β range from 0 to 1.

A shear correction factor, $K=5/6$ [Moorthy et al. (1990),

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram showing a typical plate like beam geometry with damage patch and representations of damage location.

Table 1. Material properties of each composite layer [Reddy (1984b) and Moorthy et al. (1990)] and dimension of the plate like beam used for present study.

Material constants	E_{11}/E_{22}	E_{22}/E_{22}	G_{12}/E_{22}	G_{13}/E_{22}	G_{23}/E_{22}	ν_{12}
Values	40.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.23

Geometry	length	breadth	thickness
Values (mm)	1000.0	100.0	1.0

Udar and Datta (2007)] has been chosen to accommodate the effect of non-uniform strain distribution along the thickness. To validate the formulation's applicability for isotropic materials with results available in literature, the isotropic material was merely treated as a special case of composite material with $E_{11}=E_{22}$ and $\nu=0.3$.

In the current study, the two ends of the plate like beam can have any of the three boundary conditions namely simply supported, clamped and free, denoted by symbols S, C, and F, respectively. The other two edges are free. The numerical constraints applied against each boundary condition are tabulated in Table 2.

2.1 Anisotropic damage

In a two dimensional structure, viz. a thin plate like beam, anisotropic damage is parametrically incorporated into the formulation by considering the parameter Γ_i . This parameter is essentially a representation of reduction in effective area and is given by

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{A_i - A_i^*}{A_i} \quad (1)$$

Where A_i^* is the effective area (with unit normal) after damage and A_i are the three orthogonal directions. For a thin plate like beam only Γ_1 and Γ_2 need to be considered. Γ_1 represents the damage in the direction of the fibre while Γ_2 refers to orthogonal damage. This method of parametrically modelling damage in any anisotropic material was proposed by Valliappan et al. (1990). Using this formulation, a damaged stress-strain matrix for a two dimensional laminate is written as

$$\{\sigma^*\} = [D^*]\{\epsilon\} \quad (2)$$

where,

$$[D^*] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-\Gamma_1)^2}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} E_1 & \frac{(1-\Gamma_1)(1-\Gamma_2)}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} E_2 \nu_{12} & 0 \\ \frac{(1-\Gamma_1)(1-\Gamma_2)}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} E_1 \nu_{21} & \frac{(1-\Gamma_2)^2}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \frac{(1-\Gamma_1)^2}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} \frac{(1-\Gamma_2)^2}{(1-\nu_{12}\nu_{21})} G \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

This relation is then transformed to the general coordinate system as with the general undamaged cases. It can also be noted that by constraining the values of Γ_1 and Γ_2 , one can yield a damage formulation for an isotropic material as proposed by Prabhakara and Datta (1993).

The damaged area has been considered as a square patch (Fig.1). The parameters Γ_1 and Γ_2 determine the extent of damage. The parameter ψ denotes the area of the damage patch, while a^* and b^* denote the location of the central point for the damaged patch. The damaged area in FEM formulation is represented by number of finite elements across which the damage is extended along the X and Y direction and as a percentage of the total area (ψ). For e.g. 3×1 ($\psi = 7\%$), 2×2 ($\psi = 9\%$), 3×2 ($\psi = 14\%$) and 4×2 ($\psi = 18\%$), for a mesh size of 22×2 .

2.2 Non-dimensionalization of parameters

For convenience of analysis and comparison, the model parameters and results are presented in non-dimensional form leaving them independent of geometry and material property values. The non-dimensionalization parameters

Table 2. Boundary conditions and Numerical constraints

Boundary conditions	Edge conditions	
	Along x-axis	Along y-axis
Simply supported (S)	$v = w = \theta_y = 0$	$v = w = \theta_y = 0$
Clamped (C)	$u = v = w = \theta_x = \theta_y = 0$	$u = v = w = \theta_x = \theta_y = 0$
Free (F)	No constraints	No constraints

Table 3. Non-dimensionalization of parameters

Parameter	Non-dimensionalised	
	Isotropic	Composite
Natural frequencies (ω)	$\omega b^2 \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{D}}$	$\omega b^2 \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{E_{22} h^2}}$
Critical buckling load ($\overline{P_{cr}}$)	$\frac{P_{cr} b^2}{D}$	$\frac{P_{cr} b^2}{E_{22} h^3}$

are presented in Table 3 [Reddy (1984a), Moorthy et al. (1990)]. The parameter used to non-dimensionize isotropic problems is the flexural rigidity of the panel and is given by $D=Et^3/12(1-\nu^2)$.

2.3 Solution technique

A generalized equation of motion can be written to generate various classes of problems.

$$[M]\{\ddot{q}\} + [K_E] - P[K_G]\{q\} = 0 \quad (4)$$

If $P(t) = P_s + P_c \cos(\Omega t) = \alpha P_{cr} + \beta P_{cr} \cos(\Omega t)$, i.e. the loading consists of a static and time dependent part (harmonic loading), dynamic stability problems are established. Certain 'zones' corresponding to the ratio (P_c/P_s) and the excitation frequency, Ω , will become unstable. This phenomenon is known as parametric resonance. The governing differential equation takes the form of the Mathieu - Hill equation (Bolotin, 1964). The instability regions can be obtained using various methods such as Floquet's theory, Lindstedt - Poincaré technique or the method of multiple scales (Nayfeh, 1981).

Using modal transformation, Eq.(4) is modified in the following form [Nayfeh (1981), Udar and Datta (2007)]

$$\{\ddot{\xi}\} + [\hat{C}]\{\dot{\xi}\} + [\Lambda]\{\xi\} + 2\epsilon \cos(\Omega t)[\hat{K}]\xi = 0 \quad (5)$$

where the terminologies are explained in the above references.

Eq.(5) is solved using the method of multiple scales (MMS) (Nayfeh, 1981) to obtain the boundaries of the simple and combination parametric zones of instability. When the frequency of the excitation is close to the sum or difference of two natural frequencies of the system, a combination resonance of the summed type or difference type exists between the various modes. The nearness of Ω to $\omega_n \pm \omega_m$ can be expressed by introducing the detuning parameter σ , which is defined by

$$\Omega = \omega_n \pm \omega_m + \epsilon \sigma \quad (6)$$

where, σ , is obtained from the solution of the following quadratic equation,

$$A\sigma^2 + B\sigma + C = 0 \quad (7)$$

where, the constants A, B and C are explained in ref. Nayfeh (1981).

The two roots of Eq.(7) correspond to two boundaries of the dynamic instability region. The case $m=n$ gives the simple resonance zone and the case $m \neq n$ gives the combination resonance zone of the summed type or the difference type

as explained by Kim and Choo (2000). The critical dynamic load factor, β^* corresponds to the value of β for which the expression B^2-4AC is equal to zero. For the values of β less than β^* , Eq.(7) gives complex roots which means that dynamic instability cannot occur.

2.4 Definition of 'onset' and 'width'

For tabulating and plotting the characteristics and variation of the simple and combination resonance instability regions due to various factors, the traditional frequency-ratio against dynamic load factor curves is inadequate. In order to do so, two terms are introduced - 'onset' and 'width' of an instability region. The onset of any region is the point corresponding to $\beta=0.0$. At this point, the lower and the upper bounds of the instability region are the same. The width of the instability region is defined as the span enclosed by the lower and upper bounds of the region at $\beta=0.8$. This value can be taken to be a representation of the area of the instability region itself. This is a reasonable assumption as the instability zones, if any, are well developed at such high values of the dynamic load factor.

3. Results and Discussion

To check the validity of the present finite element formulation for plate like beams, a series of convergence and comparison studies have been carried out. A convergence study is carried out to select the optimum mesh sizes for the purpose of numerical computation. The results obtained from the limiting cases of the formulation are tallied against available results in order to validate the accuracy of the present formulation.

Table 4 shows convergence results for the natural frequencies of a fixed-free isotropic and composite plate like beam. For this study, a mesh size of 22×2 has been chosen.

Table 5 presents non-dimensional free vibration frequencies and buckling loads for isotropic beams under various boundary conditions, and compared them with those reported by Goyal and Kapania (2007). Free vibration frequencies for composite beams are shown in Table 6. The results are in good agreement with Maiti and Sinha (1994).

Table 7 lists the non-dimensional free-vibration frequencies and buckling loads for cantilevered, angle-ply composite laminates. The results obtained are in agreement with that presented by Goyal and Kapania (2007). Further, these results are a prerequisite to the MMS formulation that computes the parametric resonance instability zones.

Primary instability regions or the simple resonance zones for a square, which simply supported isotropic plates

for different static and dynamic load factors (α and β) are shown in Table 8 and indicate good agreement with those reported by Hutt and Salam (1971). The validity of the MMS formulation has also been checked for the determination of instability zones for a cantilevered, cross-ply (0/90/90/0) panel.

For cases where damage has been considered, the damage parameters, Γ_1 , Γ_2 , ψ and its location on the plate like beam are of importance. The direction of damage with respect to fibre orientation has significant influence in the static and dynamic instability characteristics of the plate like beam. A reduction in stiffness in the direction of fibre influences the buckling, vibration and dynamic instability characteristics more profoundly than a reduction of stiffness occurring in a direction perpendicular to the fibre orientation. So, the damage parameter Γ_2 is set at 0.1, while the intensity of damage represented by the damage ratio Γ_1/Γ_2 is varied from 0.0 to 9.0. A mild damage may be represented with a damage ratio of $0.0 \leq \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 \leq 3.0$, while heavy damage may be denoted by the range of values, $7.0 \leq \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 \leq 9.0$.

The effect of damage on the dynamics of structure influence vibration and buckling characteristics which affects the dynamic instability behaviour of plate like beam structural elements. Variation of the non-dimensional fundamental natural frequency and buckling load with damage ratio for a C-F (0/90/0) cross-ply composite plate like beam with the thickness ratio (b/h) = 100 are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). It can be observed that the introduction

of damage reduces the frequency and the buckling load in comparison to the undamaged cases. The general trend of variations is found valid irrespective of boundary conditions applied at the ends of the plate like beam and for different ply orientations.

Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) show the variations of the non-dimensional fundamental natural frequency and buckling load with the location of damage for the cross-ply and angle-ply clamped-simply supported (C-S) plate like beam. It can be observed that both vibration and buckling behaviour improve for the damage position near the simply supported end. Further, the cross-ply plate like beam has better vibration and buckling characteristics compared to the angle-ply configuration. Similar results are also observed for the clamped-free (C-F) composite plate like beam.

The effects of the damage area for various centrally damaged, cantilevered, composite plate like beams have been obtained. Tables 9 and 10 show the variations of frequency and buckling load for centrally located damage patches of various sizes and having damage intensities of (Γ_1/Γ_2) = 1.0 (mild) and (Γ_1/Γ_2) = 9.0 (heavy).

The drop in fundamental natural frequency in the heavily damaged case when compared to mild damage is as expected. The fundamental natural frequency drops for all plies as the damage area is increased. Also, the cross-ply plate like beams (0/90/0) have a higher fundamental frequency than their angle ply counterparts (θ /- θ /) and the values decrease as θ increases until $\theta=45^\circ$. The increase in the damage area decreases the buckling load as expected

Table 4. Convergence study of non-dimensional free vibration frequencies of cantilevered isotropic ($a/b = 10$, $b/h = 10$, $E_{11} = E_{22} = 70$ GPa, $G_{12} = G_{13} = G_{23} = 26.923$ GPa and $\nu_{12} = 0.3$), symmetric, cross-ply (0/90/90/0) and asymmetric angle-ply (45/-45/45/-45) plate like beams. ($a/b = 10$, $b/h = 10$, $E_{11}/E_{22} = 40$ GPa, $G_{12}/E_{22} = G_{13}/E_{22} = 0.6$ GPa, $G_{23}/E_{22} = 0.5$ GPa and $\nu_{12} = 0.25$).

Mesh Division	Non-dimensional frequencies, $\bar{\omega}$		
	Isotropic	Cross-ply	Angle-ply.
6 × 2	3.3850	22.2056	5.3601
12 × 2	3.3761	22.2045	5.3065
22 × 2	3.3751	22.2043	5.2937
16 × 4	3.3753	22.2044	5.3011

Table 5. Non-dimensional free-vibration frequencies and buckling loads for isotropic beams under various boundary conditions. $a/b=10$, $b/h=100$. The results are compared to those reported by Goyal and Kapania (2007).

Boundary condition	Non-dimensional frequencies, $\bar{\omega}$		Non-dimensional buckling load, γ_{cr}	
	Present	Ref:	present	Ref:
cantilevered.	3.516	3.516	2.470	2.467
simply-supported	9.869	9.870	9.880	9.870
clamped-clamped	22.373	22.350	39.48	39.52

Table 6. Non-dimensional free vibration frequencies for cantilevered, unidirectional, composite beams. Fibre orientation: (θ /0/ θ). $a/b = 20$, $b/h = 0.5$. The results are compared to those reported by Maiti and Sinha (1994).

θ	Non-dimensional frequencies, $\bar{\omega}$	
	Present	Reference
30	5.4524	5.3374
45	4.2230	4.1627
60	3.7210	3.6981
90	3.5155	3.5103

Table 7. Non-dimensional free-vibration frequencies and buckling loads for cantilevered, angle-ply composite laminates. $a/b = 60$, $b/h = 0.5$. The results are compared to those reported by Goyal and Kapania (2007). Non-dimensional parameters

$$\bar{\omega} = \omega h \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{G}} \text{ and } \gamma_{cr} = \frac{P_{cr} b^2}{\pi^2 D}$$

ply-layup	Non-dimensional frequencies, $\bar{\omega}$		Non-dimensional buckling load, γ_{cr}	
	Present	Ref:	present	Ref:
(0/90/0/90).	8.8621	8.8530	4.532	4.521
(0/30/-30/0)	12.4258	12.403	8.890	8.8800
(0/45/-45/0)	12.2935	12.270	8.710	8.691

physically. The cross-ply plate like beam (0/90/0) has better buckling characteristics than its angle-ply ($\theta/-\theta/\theta$) counterparts. Just like the free-vibration characteristics, the values decrease as θ increases until $\theta=45^\circ$.

Simple and combination resonance type dynamic instability characteristics for the composite plate like beam with variation of damage parameters have been studied, for different static and dynamic load factors of the harmonic

Table 8. Non-dimensional excitation frequencies corresponding to lower and upper bounds of the primary instability region of a square, simply supported isotropic plate. Thickness ratio, $b/h = 100$. Load width, $c/b = 100$. The values have been compared with those reported by Hutt and Salam. (1971).

α	β	Present		Reference	
		Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper
0.0	0.0	39.4650	39.4650	39.46	39.46
	0.4	35.2986	43.2317	35.52	43.00
	0.8	30.5695	46.6956	30.78	46.56
	1.2	24.9599	49.9197	25.06	49.52
0.6	0.0	24.9599	24.9599	25.06	25.06
	0.16	22.3248	27.3422	22.49	27.43
	0.32	19.3339	29.5329	19.53	29.60
	0.48	15.7860	31.5720	15.91	31.57

load. For the present analysis, second order MMS has been used to obtain the zones of instability. The static load factor, α is taken as 0.2 and the dynamic load factor, β is varied from 0.0 to 1.0.

It has been observed that unlike free vibration and buckling characteristics, parametric resonance instability zones are not greatly affected by damage intensity. However, there exist few cases where the change in the intensity of damage influences certain combination resonance zones.

The location of damage has a greater influence on

Table 9. Variation of non-dimensional fundamental natural frequency with damage area for cantilevered composite plate like beams with thickness ratio $b/h = 100$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Damage Area, (%)	(0/90/0)	(15/-15/15)	(30/-30/30)	(45/-45/45)
	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$			
7	20.8515	15.9935	10.0694	5.8705
9	20.7486	15.9037	10.0061	5.8334
14	20.6709	15.8359	9.9590	5.8053
18	20.6406	15.8072	9.9379	5.7930
	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 9.0$			
	(0/90/0)	(15/-15/15)	(30/-30/30)	(45/-45/45)
7	20.8514	15.9934	10.0694	5.8704
9	9.7818	8.1274	6.1816	4.6564
14	7.7794	6.4011	4.9251	3.9422
18	7.2324	5.8752	4.4757	3.5945

(a)

(b)

Fig. 2. Variation of (a) natural frequency and (b) buckling load of a centrally damaged, cantilevered (0/90/0) cross-ply plate like beam with $b/h=100$.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 3. Variation of (a) natural frequency and (b) buckling load with damage location for (0/90/0) cross-ply and (45/-45/45) angle-ply, C-S plate like beams. Damage area, $\psi = 14\%$, Damage ratio $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$, $\Gamma = 0.1$.

parametric resonance instability zones than damage intensity. A rectangular damage patch of area, $\psi = 14\%$, is considered and the effect of its location (a^* , b^*) on the laminate is studied. Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) plot the variation of the onset of instability regions ($\beta = 0.0$) due to the damage location for a moderately damaged ($\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 6.0$) cantilevered angle-ply plate like beam. It can be observed that for combination resonance due to $\omega_1 + \omega_3$, $\omega_3 + \omega_4$, damage location has a negligible effect if any. In case of instability zones due to combination resonance of $\omega_1 + \omega_4$, $\omega_2 + \omega_3$, $\omega_2 + \omega_4$, the onset is delayed on the frequency ratio axis when the damage patch is located near the centre of the structure,

i.e. $0.4 \leq (a^*/a) \leq 0.5$, whereas it is advanced when located in proximity of the free end of the cantilevered structure, i.e. $0.7 \leq (a^*/a) \leq 0.9$. An inward shift of the onset indicates that the structure is more susceptible to instability.

The variation of the onset and width of parametric resonance instability zones for cantilevered cross-ply (0/90/90/0) and angle-ply (45/-45/45/-45) under the in-plane harmonic load is presented in Tables 11 and 12, respectively. It can be observed that for the cross-ply case, only $\omega_1 + \omega_3$ and $\omega_2 + \omega_4$ regions of combination resonance exist while for the angle-ply case $\omega_1 + \omega_2$, $\omega_1 + \omega_3$, $\omega_1 + \omega_4$, $\omega_2 + \omega_3$, $\omega_2 + \omega_4$ and $\omega_3 + \omega_4$ regions of combination resonance exist for mild damage $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$. In the most general sense, the onset of simple and combination resonance instability regions are advanced on the frequency ratio axis with an increase in damage area, ψ , for most cases. Further, it can be observed from Tables 11 and 12 that the width of the instability regions due to simple resonance decreases for both cross-ply and angle-ply cases. It is also clear from Tables 11 and 12 that when the width of the instability regions due to combination resonance increases for the cross-ply case the same decreases for the angle-ply case. Thus, it must be concluded that when damage area is the criteria,

Table 10. Variation of non-dimensional buckling load with damage area for cantilevered composite plate like beams with thickness ratio = 100, $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Damage Area, (%)	(0/90/0)	(15/-15/15)	(30/-30/30)	(45/-45/45)
$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$				
7	180.7263	103.8403	91.3100	56.1379
9	177.0054	101.4171	90.0162	56.7809
14	173.8075	99.7015	89.6394	56.1343
18	170.7564	99.3200	88.3053	55.8784
$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 9.0$				
7	180.7263	103.8403	91.3100	58.1379
9	130.6078	88.2350	54.0752	34.8096
14	90.7855	59.2253	36.5401	25.1774
18	69.5721	43.7986	26.7530	18.6290

Table 11. Variation of the onset of simple and combination resonance zones for centrally damaged, cantilevered, cross-ply and angle-ply panels under in-plane harmonic loading. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Damage Area (%)	Onset, frequency ratio (Ω/ω_1)								
	Simple resonance zones			Combination resonance zones					
	$2\omega_1$	$2\omega_2$	$2\omega_3$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_3)$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_4)$	$(\omega_2 + \omega_3)$	$(\omega_2 + \omega_4)$	$(\omega_3 + \omega_4)$
	(0/90/90/0)								
7	2.0000	9.1809	12.5327	5.5904	7.2663	15.8768	10.8568	19.4672	21.1431
9	2.0000	9.1207	12.3359	5.5604	7.1679	15.8457	10.7283	19.4061	21.0136
14	2.0000	9.0917	12.2814	5.5458	7.1407	15.8650	10.6865	19.4108	21.0057
18	2.0000	9.0581	12.1802	5.5291	7.0901	15.8110	10.6191	19.3401	20.9012
(45/-45/45/-45)									
7	2.0000	12.7048	37.1301	7.3524	19.5650	39.4485	24.9175	44.8009	57.0136
9	2.0000	12.5879	37.1920	7.2938	19.5960	39.2133	24.8899	44.5071	56.8093
14	2.000	12.5723	37.2258	7.2862	19.6129	39.2504	24.8990	44.5366	56.8633
18	2.0000	12.4915	37.1933	7.2458	19.5966	39.1259	24.8424	44.3717	56.7226

Table 12. Variation of the width of simple and combination resonance zones for centrally damaged, cantilevered, cross-ply and angle-ply panels under in-plane harmonic loading. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Damage Area (%)	Width, frequency ratio								
	Simple resonance zones			Combination resonance zones					
	$2\omega_1$	$2\omega_2$	$2\omega_3$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_3)$	$(\omega_1 + \omega_4)$	$(\omega_2 + \omega_3)$	$(\omega_2 + \omega_4)$	$(\omega_3 + \omega_4)$
	(0/90/90/0)								
7	0.7422	0.0954	0.8259	0.0000	0.4707	0.0000	0.0000	0.0237	0.0000
9	0.7408	0.0955	0.8255	0.0000	0.4724	0.0000	0.0000	0.0245	0.0000
14	0.7405	0.0955	0.8204	0.0000	0.4724	0.0000	0.0000	0.0244	0.0000
18	0.7396	0.0954	0.8187	0.0000	0.4742	0.0000	0.0000	0.0254	0.0000
(45/-45/45/-45)									
7	0.7406	0.8028	0.6468	0.4839	0.1553	0.1792	0.3598	0.1572	0.2507
9	0.7400	0.7981	0.6399	0.4843	0.1552	0.1769	0.3541	0.1496	0.2470
14	0.7402	0.7923	0.6381	0.4838	0.1553	0.1754	0.3503	0.1453	0.2450
18	0.7394	0.7936	0.6329	0.4849	0.1546	0.1752	0.3491	0.1443	0.2432

angle-ply orientation is advantageous.

Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the simple and combination resonance instability regions of a mildly damaged cross-ply, cantilevered plate like beam under in-plane harmonic load. As expected, the simple resonance instability regions become more prominent as compared to the combination resonance instability zones. It can be noted that combination resonance due to $\omega_1 + \omega_3$ exists in the cross-ply case while those due to $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $\omega_2 + \omega_4$ are present in the angle-ply case.

The simple and combination resonance instability regions of a clamped-simply supported, mildly damaged cross-ply and angle-ply plate like beam under in-plane harmonic load is plotted in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The combination resonance instability zones are again less prominent than the simple resonance instability zones

which can be clearly observed. It can also be noted that compared to the cantilevered case the onset is delayed in the frequency axis and the width of the instability zone has become narrow.

The effect of static load factor (α) on simple and combination resonance zones for the cross-ply and angle-ply plate like beam is significant. The variation for the onset and width of instability regions regarding simple and combination resonance regions with α for a mildly damaged edge loaded angle-ply (45/-45/45/-45) having a damage patch at the centre are plotted in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. Just like the cross-ply, the onset advances slightly on the frequency ratio axis with the increase in static load factor. Also, it can be observed that just like the cross-ply case, the width for most of the instability regions increases with the increase in static

Fig. 4. Variation of onset ($\beta = 0.0$) of instability region of simple and combination resonance regions with location of damage shifting towards (a) clamped end and (b) free end for cantilevered angle-ply plate like beams. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 6.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Fig. 5. Simple and combination resonance instability regions of cantilevered, mildly damaged, symmetric, plate like beams subjected to in-plane harmonic loading for (a) cross-ply and (b) angle-ply case. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = 1.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

load factor.

4. Conclusion

A static and dynamic instability study of a composite plate like beam having anisotropic damage and subjected to the harmonic axial load is presented in this paper. The results show the effects of damage and its location on the fundamental natural frequency, buckling load, and simple and combination resonance instability behaviour. The observations are summarized into the following points,

- Damage in composite plate like beams show strong orthogonality. It has been observed that damage in the direction of fibre results in steeper deterioration of both natural frequency and buckling characteristics. Damage

in the orthogonal direction to fibre orientation has little influence on the fundamental natural frequency and buckling load characteristics.

- It can be concluded that the locations of damage do influence the frequency and buckling characteristics of the composite plate like beam chosen for the present study. A plate like beam of a certain lay-up is more sensitive to the location of damage. The present study reveals that cross ply lay-up causes noticeable variations in response behaviour with a shift in damage location. It was also observed from the present study that buckling behaviour improves when damage is located near less constrained boundaries.

- It has been observed that as the extension of damage or damage area increases it deteriorates the natural frequency and buckling characteristics irrespective of ply lay-up and ply orientation.

Fig. 6. Simple and combination resonance instability regions of Clamped-Simply supported, mildly damaged, symmetric, plate like beams subjected to in-plane harmonic loading for (a) cross-ply and (b) angle-ply case. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1 / \Gamma_2 = 1.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

Fig. 7. Variation of (a) onset and (b) width for cantilevered, (45/-45/45/-45) angle-ply plate like beams. Damage patch is located at the centre. Damage area, $\psi = 14\%$. Damage ratio, $\Gamma_1 / \Gamma_2 = 1.0$. $\Gamma_2 = 0.1$.

- Damage location contributes more significantly to the parametric resonance instability characteristics than damage intensity. It can be concluded from the present study that parametric instability characteristics can make the structure more vulnerable and drive it to instability much earlier when damage is located towards less constrained boundaries than when located towards well constrained ones, like the clamped end.

- From the present study, the static component of harmonic excitation emerged as a very important parameter that has significant influence in determining the parametric instability zones. An increase in the static component of harmonic excitation is not a welcome development for the structural component as it drives the system into instability zones at much lower excitation frequencies and these new instability zones are wider than those existed for a lesser static load factor value.

- An increase in the damage area advances the onset of simple resonance instability zones further into the frequency ratio axis. The width of these regions increase as the onset is advanced on the frequency ratio axis and vice versa.

Notation

The following symbols are used in this paper:

a, b = dimensions of the plate like beam;
 a^*, b^* = x and y coordinates of the centre for the damage area;
 A_i = area of section of the undamaged laminate in direction;
 A_i^* = reduced area (effective) of section of the damaged laminate in the direction;
 $[D^*]$ = damaged stress strain matrix;
 h = thickness of the plate like beam;
 $[K_e]$ = elastic stiffness matrix;
 $[K_G]$ = stress stiffness matrix for the unit load;
 $[\hat{K}]$ = normalized stiffness matrix;
 $[M]$ = consistent mass matrix;
 $P(t)$ = magnitude of harmonic load at time t ;
 P_{cr} = non-dimensionalized buckling load;
 $\{q\}$ = global degrees of freedom;
 u, v, w = displacements in the X, Y and Z directions, respectively;
 θ_x, θ_y = X and Y direction slopes;
 α, β = static and dynamic load factors, respectively;
 $[\Lambda]$ = diagonal matrix of eigen values of the free vibration problem;
 $\{\xi\}$ = global degrees of freedom in normalized coordinates;
 Γ_i = extent of damage in a laminate in the direction;

ψ = fraction of the damaged area, compared with total area, expressed in percentage;

Ω = frequency of harmonic loading;

ω = natural frequency of vibration;

$\bar{\omega}$ = non-dimensionalized natural frequency of vibration.

References

- [1] Abdelrahman, W. and Nayfeh, A. "Stress transfer and stiffness reduction in orthogonally cracked laminates," *Mechanics of Materials*, Vol. 31, No. 5, 1999, pp. 303–316.
- [2] Bolotin, V., *The Dynamic Stability of Elastic Systems*, Holden Day, San Francisco, California, 1964.
- [3] Goyal, V. K., and Kapania, R. K., "A shear-deformable beam element for the analysis of laminated composites," *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, Vol. 43, No. 6, 2007, pp. 463–477.
- [4] Hutt, J. M., and Salam, A. E., "Dynamic stability of plates by finite elements," *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, ASCE, Vol. 97, No. 3, 1971, pp. 879–899.
- [5] Kim, J., and Choo, Y., "Dynamic stability of rectangular plates subjected to pulsating follower forces," *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Journal*, Vol. 38, No. 4, 2000, pp. 353–361.
- [6] Ladeveze, P., and LeDantec, E., "Damage modelling of the elementary ply for laminated composites," *Composites Science and Technology*, Vol. 43, No. 3, 1992, pp. 257–267.
- [7] Maiti, D. K., and Sinha, P., "Bending and free vibration analysis of shear deformable laminated composite beams by finite element method," *Composite Structures*, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1994, pp. 421 – 431.
- [8] Moorthy, J., Reddy, J., and Plaut, R., "Parametric instability of laminated composite plates with transverse shear deformation," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, Vol. 26, No.7, 1990, pp. 801–811.
- [9] Murari, V., and Upadhyay, C., "Towards a generalized macro-level damage model for unidirectional composites," *Advanced Materials Research*, Vol. 47, 2008, pp. 869–872.
- [10] Nayfeh, A., *Introduction to Perturbation Techniques*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1981.
- [11] Pidaparti, R., "Free vibration and flutter of damaged composite panels," *Composite Structures*, Vol. 38, No.1-4, 1997, pp. 477–481.
- [12] Prabhakara, D., and Datta, P. K., "Parametric instability characteristics of rectangular plates with localized damage subjected to in-plane periodic load," *Structural Engineering Review*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1993, pp. 71–79.
- [13] Reddy, J., "A simple higher-order theory for laminated composite plates," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 51, No.

1, 1984, pp. 745-752.

[14] Reddy, J., An Introduction to the Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 2nd edition, 1984.

[15] Sahu, S., and Datta, P., "Research advances in the dynamic stability behaviour of plates and shells: 1987-2005; Part 1: Conservative systems," Applied Mechanics Reviews, ASME, Vol. 60, 2007, pp. 65-75.

[16] Talreja, R., "A continuum mechanics characterization of damage in composite materials," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Vol. 399, No. 1817, 1985, pp. 195-216.

[17] Udar, R. S., and Datta, P. K., "Dynamic analysis of parametrically excited laminated composite curved panels under non-uniform edge loading with damping," Composite Structures, Vol. 79, No. 3, 2007, pp. 356 - 368.

[18] Valliappan, S., Murti, V., and Wohua, Z., "Finite element analysis of anisotropic damage mechanics problems," Engineering Fracture Mechanics, Vol. 35, No. 6, 1990, pp. 1061 - 1071.

[19] Voyiadjis, G. Z., "Dynamic stability of plates by finite elements," Continuum Damage Mechanics, Handbook of Materials Modeling, 2005, pp. 1183-1192.